IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit: 2617

In Re Application of: Jurgen BEYER et al.									Art Unit: 2617							
Application No.: 10/551,896 Confirmation No.: 3431						11		Examiner: Mehmood B. Khan								
Filed: October 10, 2006									Washington, D.C.							
371(c) Date:									Atty.'s Docket: BEYER=1							
For:									Date: August 18, 2009							
U.S. Pa	atent an ner Sen ph Build lany St	id Trademark Of vice Window ding, Mail Sto l reet	ffice													
Sir:																
in the a [] [XX]	bove-id Small E No add	lentified applicat	tion. pplicant(s) c uired.	XX] REQUEST FO laim small entity sta				TY OF AC	TION							
		(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	_ ,		SMA	LL ENTITY	,	ı	0	THER THAN	SMALL ENTITY		
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS			RATE		ITIONAL FEE	OR		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		
TOTAL	_	10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	MINUS	** 20	0	_	X	26	\$			х	52	\$		
INDEP		NEATION OF A	MINUS	*** 3	0	-	×	110	\$			<u>×</u>	220 390	\$		
FIRST	PRESE	ENTATION OF M	MOLTIPLE	DEP. CLAIM		I NOITIDDA	JAL I	195 FEE TOTAL			OR		TOTAL	\$		
[XX]	of claim Conditi	ns originally filed onal Petition for xtension of time	Extension of for a respo	nse is required, app	olicant requests	s that this	oe co	onsidered a	petition the	ərefor.						
[]	lt is her	eby petitioned to	or an extens	sion of time in accor	dance with 37	CFH 1.13	o(a).	i ne appro	priate ree r	equirea by .	37 UFR I	. 17 18	calculated as	snown below:		
		Entity nse Filed Within First - Second - Third - Fourth - After Time Peric	\$ 65.00 \$ 245.00 \$ 555.00 \$ 865.00				R- [[[[ther Than S esponse Fi] Firs] Sec] Thi] Foul	led Within st - : cond - : rd - : urth - :	\$ 130.00 \$ 490.00 \$ 1110.00 \$ 1730.00						
				dy paid for mor	oth(s) extension	n of time o										
	-		·	t No. 02-4035 in the												
[]	Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$.															
[]] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).															
	overpa Extensi §1.16 a	yment to Deposition of Time fee.	t Account N	orized and requeste to. 02-4035. This a I by check or specif as under 37 CFR §	uthorization an ic authorizatior	d request but is als	is no so int	ot limited to tended to in	payment of clude all fe	f all fees as: es for the p	sociated r resentation	with th on of (nis communica extra claims u	ation, including ar nder 37 CFR		
									BROV	BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.						
										Attorn	eys for A	pplica	int(s)			
Facsim Teleph		(202) 737-3528 (202) 628-5197								J	_/jmf/ ay M. Fin legistratio					

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	ATTY.'S DOCKET: BEYER=1
In re Application of:) Confirmation No. 3431
Jurgen BEYER et al.) Art Unit: 2617
Appln. No.: 10/551,896) Examiner: Mehmood B. Khan
Filed: October 10, 2006) Washington, D.C.
For: METHOD FOR ANALYZING THE INTERFERENCE AND SUPPLY) August 18, 2009)

REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF FINALITY OF ACTION

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

We are in receipt of a final action dated July 7, 2009. In that action, claim 1 is rejected on new grounds, based on a newly cited reference (Li et al.).

The Li patent is relied upon for its disclosure of a "step of acquiring being carried out while the subnetwork is idle, i.e. without traffic load".

This is the only limitation in amended claim 1 for which the Li patent is relied upon.

This limitation previously appeared in claim 3, which depended from claim 1.

Appln. No. 10/551,896 BEYER=1

Had it not been for the incorporation into claim 1 of the limitation that had been presented in previously pending claim 3, there would have been no reason to rely on the newly cited reference to support the rejection of claim 1.

Accordingly, it is clear that the rejection of amended claim 1 on new grounds was not necessitated by applicants' claim amendments.

Therefore, the finality of the rejection must be withdrawn to afford applicants the opportunity to fully respond to the new ground of rejection, and it is asked that such action be taken.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By /jmf/ Jay M. Finkelstein Registration No. 21,082

JMF:smb

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\R\rieb\Beyer1\Pto\2009-08-18 Request for Withdrawal of Finality of Action.doc